

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	IO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/764,926 01/18/200		01/18/2001	Alan John Lunn	12805-002001	9930	
26161	7590	04/02/2004		EXAMINER		
FISH &	RICHAR	DSON PC	NGUYEN, ANTHONY H			
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
,				2854	2854	
				DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/764,926 LUNN, ALAN J					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Anthony H Nguyen	2854	And			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	ss			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commu	unication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 D	<u>ecember 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		erits is			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
_	Claim(s) 16-23, 28, 29, 33-39 and 46-52 is/are	wn from consideration. ejected. objected to.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	` '			
Priority :	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge			
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 09/764926

Art Unit: 2854

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 14,15,24-27, 30,31 and 40-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Troester (US 6,135,022).

With respect to claims 14, 25, 30, 41 and 42, Troester teaches a marking device 10 having a housing 50 (Fig.3), a frame pivotally mounted in the housing about an axis 30, a marking head 14 which includes a head pin 12 that is actuated by a solenoid (not shown, Troester, col.10 line 13) and mounted in the carriage 15 moved parallel to the pivoted axis by a motor 28 and a motor 22 used to pivot the frame in a substantially orthogonal direction and a handle 82 (Fig.4B). Note that the elements of Troester are contained in the housing therefore the recoils of the marking head does not cause moments about the device's center of gravity.

With respect to claims 15 and 31, Troester teaches the use of a console or a controller 70, a handle 82 having a trigger 84 for operating the device as shown in Figs. 4A and 4B.

With respect to claims 24 and 40, Fig.3 of Troester shows the housing 50 having a window (no reference) through which the marking head 14 protrudes between the standoffs 48.25-27 and 42-44

Art Unit: 2854

With respect to claims 26,27, 43,44, Troester teaches the head carriage 15 on which the marking head 14 is mounted for sliding along the guide rods or rails 24 and 26 or the first direction.

Claims 16, 45 and 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Troester (US 6,135,022) in view of Wadge (US 6,263,980).

With respect to claim 45, Troester teaches a marking device having substantially the structure as recited in the claims. See the explanation of Troester above. Troester fails to teach the housing which is a clamshell housing. However, Wadge teaches a power tool 2 having a clamshell housing 4 that includes ribs 30 which function as a bearing bush to secure a motor 22 in place. In view of the teaching of Wadge, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the housing of the marking device of Troester by substituting the clamshell housing as taught by Wadge for simplicity of producing a housing of a marking device. With respect to claims 16 and 53-55, the use of a motor having a rotary armature threaded on a fixed screw is well known in the art. For example, see Wadge, col.6 lines 22-29.

Application/Control Number: 09/764926

Art Unit: 2854

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed on December 17, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive of any error in the above rejections. Applicant argues that Troester fail to teach or suggest the marking device as recited in claims 1 and 14. Specifically, applicant argues that Troester does not teach a marking device which includes a drive means to drive a pin in a third direction substantially orthogonal first and second directions against a surface to be marked.

However, as explained above, a marking device which includes a drive means which drives a head pin in a third direction for marking on a surface (Troester, col.10 line 13).

Applicant's assertion about Troester's center of gravity appears to be inaccurate since all the masses of elements or parts in Troester are not taken into an account for analyzing so as to compare with applicant's center of gravity. While Troester shows two embodiments in Figs. 1 and 2 which all parts are enclosed in a housing, it appears that the marking device of Troester does not cause moments about its center of gravity which is inside the housing. Therefore, Troester meets the structure as recited in claim 14.

Applicant argues that Troester does not teach motors which are confines of the frame as recited in claim 30..

Applicant's arguments are more specific than the limitations in claim 30. For example, claim 30 line 11 requires "said motors are disposed substantially within the confines of the frame". Clearly, Troester's motors disposed substantially within the confines of the frame 28 which pivots

Art Unit: 2854

about the axis 30 and the motor 22 is arranged to pivot the frame in a second "substantially orthogonal" direction as shown in Figs.1 and 2 of Troester. Therefore, Troester anticipated the structure as recited in claim 30.

Conclusion

Claims 1-13, 56 and 57 are allowable.

Claims 17-23,28,29,34-39 and 46-52 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Art Unit: 2854

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2169. The examiner can normally be reached daily from 9 AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld, can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Anthony Nguyen March 31, 2004

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Shuthony okugan